

SOUTHERN LEYTE STATE UNIVERSITY
TARGETS 2017 (ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES)

Organizational Outcomes (OO's) to which the MFO contribute	Baseline Information			Performance		Targets	
	Unit	Year	Value	Year 2015		Year 2016	Year 2017
				Target	Actual		
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth							
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	%	2014	135.40%	140%	126%	141%	145%
Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduates studies		2015	4% (822)	2% (840)	3% (864)	5% (863)	6%(915)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	%	2014	1162	5% (1,200)	11% (1,330)	8% (1,296)	5% (1,396)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased							
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	%	2014	2,981	4% (3,100)	29% (3,847)	5% (3,255)	- 61% (1,500)
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	%	2014	2,385	4% (2480)	5% (2,500)	4% (2,579)	7% (2,682)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation							
Number of R&D outputs patented/commercialized/used by the industry or by other beneficiaries							
a) Adopted by industry/small & medium enterprises/ LGU / Community based organizations and or	No.	2014	6	8	5	8	9
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology* published in CHED recognized referred journals	No.	2014	11	15	13	15	16
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the ff.:							
b) Publishing (investigative, or basic & applied scientific research)	%	2014	22	36.36% (30)	10% (33)	40% (42)	42% (47)
Community engagement increased							

Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small & medium enterprises and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	%		5 LGUs	100% (10 LGUs)	19 LGUs	50%(15 LGUs)	53% (23 LGUs)
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries* of technology transfer/extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement			2,832	9.99% (3,115)	7% (11,802)	15% (3,582)	26% (4,500)



JOEL T. GUASA
Planning Officer



LYLWIN G. ALCOBER
Budget Officer